

Application No.	Applicant(s) TSUNASHIMA ET AL.	
09/688,989		
Examin r	Art Unit	
Steven H. Rao	2814	

Interview Summary	09/000,909	I SUNASHIVIA ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Steven H. Rao	2814
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	18 803 5/8/03
(1) Steven H. Rao.	(3)	and twee
(2) <u>Byram S. Lantham (49,085)</u> .	(4)	2/8/03
Date of Interview: <u>08 May 2003</u> .		21.1
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	3]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>27,28 and 30-33</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Kume and Tada.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' representationly independent claim 27line 9-10 to recite, "wherein as layers namely, a, b, c, (specify layers) wherein the top lay the isolation element when viewed in as shown in fig. 13 light</u>	ve Mr. Lantham discussed the side wall of said first gate electr er is connected to a sidewall of	possibility of amending the ode comprising at least three said gate electrode above
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview require	e last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE
	\mathcal{L}	Z
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required